

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,876	02/07/2001		Amaud Gueguen	202888US2 4116	
22850	7590	04/16/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, S. 1940 DUKE		MCCLELLAND, N	DEPPE, BETSY LEE		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2634	

DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
• • • • • • • • •	09/777,876	GUEGUEN, ARNAUD					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Betsy L. Deppe	2634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the men							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2001</u> is/are		ed to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	` '//						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	≎u.					
Address to the second of the s							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) D Interview Commercia	(DTO 442)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ate					
3) Anformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.4.5.		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 10, 16-19 and 22 in Figure 1 and 38 in Figure 2. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because:
 - the elements in Figures 1, 2 and 4 should be labeled so that one viewing a. the drawings may understand the subject matter of the claimed invention without referring to the detailed description;
 - b. the same symbol is used to represent exclusive OR 21 in Figure 1 (see page 13, line 22) and adders 37, 39 in Figure 2 (see page 14, line 34 and page 15, line 2). Either a different symbol or the same terminology should be used for clarification; and
 - the French terminology in Figures 3 and 4 should be in English. C.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/777,876 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the form and legal phraseology (e.g. "comprises" and "said") often used in patent claims and it is not limited to a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 6. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

Art Unit: 2634

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

the specification does not include section headings;

the Examiner suggests replacing the numerous instances of "turbocode" and "turbodecoding" (for example, see page 3, lines 18, 28 and 29 and page 4, line 6) with "turbo code" and "turbo decoding," respectively;

the numerous instances of "the said" (for example, see page 9, lines 2 and 4") should be "the";

on page 14, line 9, "in Figure 2" should be inserted after "the decoding device"; and

Art Unit: 2634

on page 14, line 34, there should be a comma after "32."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 8. The claims are objected to because they lack a proper introduction. The present Office practice is to insist that each claim must be the object of a sentence starting with "I (or we) claim," "The invention claimed is" or the equivalent. See MPEP § 608.01(m).
- 9. The claims are objected to because of the following informalities:

on line 1 of the claims, "Digital" should be "A digital";

in the claims, "the said" should be either "the" or "said";

in claim 1, line 17, "quantity characteristic" should be "characteristic quantity" (see claim 1, lines 16 and 19);

in claim 1, line 20, "characteristic quality" should be "quantity characteristic" in order to be consistent with claim 1, lines 16 and 19 and Figure 4;

in claim 2, line 5, "quantity characteristic" should be "characteristic quantity".

Appropriate correction is required.

10. Claims 5-26 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-26 not been further treated on the merits.

Art Unit: 2634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

11. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 6

- 12. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 13. Claims 2-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The detailed disclosure does not describe calculating two characteristic quantities as recited in claim 2, lines 5-6. Claim 1, lines 16-17 recites calculating a characteristic quantity of the set of weighted output information items and claim 2, lines 5-6 recites calculating a characteristic quantity of the set of extrinsic information items.
- 14. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 15. With regard to claim 1, it is unclear which steps comprise the method claim since line 2 uses the "comprising" and line 15 uses "comprises." Are all the steps part of the method claim or are some of them intended to be part of the preamble?
- 16. In claim 1, line 9, it is unclear what is "operating in parallel or in series."

Application/Control Number: 09/777,876 Page 7

Art Unit: 2634

17. With regard to claim 3 as dependent on claim 2, it is unclear if the characteristic quantity in claim 3, line 2, is referring to the characteristic quantity of the set of weighted output information items on claim 1, lines 16-17 or the characteristic quantity of the set of extrinsic information items on claim 2, lines 5-6.

18. With regard to claim 4, it is unclear what is meant by "the absolute value of the weighted . . . on the said set of weighted output information items" on lines 3-5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

19. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 20. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hagenauer et al. (US Patent No. 5,761,248, cited in the IDS filed March 28, 2002, Paper No. 6). (See the abstract, column 2, line 26 column 3, line 28 and column 4, lines 12)

Art Unit: 2634

21. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Kim et

Page 8

al. (US Patent No. 6,615,385 B1). (See Figure 3 and column 5, line 62 - column 6, line

35)

Conclusion

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Betsy L. Deppe whose telephone number is (703) 305-

4960. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday

(8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (703) 305-4714. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Betsy L. Deppe Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2634